

May 28, 2003

Mr. Ken Johnson Assistant City Attorney City of Waco P. O. Box 2570 Waco, Texas 76702-2570

OR2003-3588

Dear Mr. Johnson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 181926.

The City of Waco (the "city") received two requests from the same requestor for a copy of a printout showing a 911 call made at a specific time and a recording of that call, and a recording or transcript of a related 911 call made by a different individual. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially we note that portions of the information you have submitted to this office are not responsive to the present request. The submitted information contains recordings of 911 calls other than those requested. Those calls, therefore, need not be released to the requestor. Additionally, as you have not submitted a transcript or printout of the 911 call, we assume you have released it to the requestor. If you have not released any such information, to the extent such information exists, it must be released to the requestor at this time. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302.

You argue that the submitted information is confidential under section 772.218(c) of the Health and Safety Code and must therefore be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be

confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information another statute makes confidential. Sections 772.118, 772.218, and 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code make confidential the originating telephone numbers and addresses of 9-1-1 callers furnished by a service supplier. See Open Records Decision No. 649 (1996). Section 772.118 applies to emergency communication districts for counties with a population over two million. Section 772.218 applies to emergency communication districts for counties with a population over 860,000. Section 772.318 applies to emergency communication districts for counties with a population over 20,000. Subchapter E, which applies to counties with populations over 1.5 million, does not contain a confidentiality provision regarding 9-1-1 telephone numbers and addresses. See Health & Safety Code §§ 772.401 et seq. The submitted information does not contain any information furnished by a service supplier. Rather, the information is provided by the 911 caller. Therefore, the information may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 772.218(c) of the Health and Safety Code. We conclude, therefore, that with the exception of the information which is not responsive to the present request, the submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. Id. § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Sarah I. Swanson

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

SIS/lmt

Ref: ID# 181926

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Donald R. Hickman 673 Walts Road Mart, Texas 76664 (w/o enclosures)